Objectives

1. To objectively observe the electoral process across The Netherlands from 23rd to 26th May 2019.
2. To advise the relevant electoral bodies on the results of the observation for the improvement of electoral practice within The Netherlands.
3. To support the local and national election bodies with constructive feedback on areas of concern so that they may consider remedial action.

Methodology

Democracy Volunteers deployed two teams to observe the national elections to the European Parliament in The Netherlands.

All observations were conducted in pairs to allow for objective observation, following which the two observers agreed their opinions of the electoral process before submitting data to the central team.

The survey was conducted online so data was collected, and could be checked, live. In the Netherlands the team of 4 observed 30 polling stations, all in South Holland.

The observer team also received briefings from the Dutch Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations. The Ministry once again accredited the observer team to observe all aspects of the polling process in The Netherlands.

FUNDING DECLARATION

Democracy Volunteers received no financial support for this deployment in The Netherlands. Those observing in The Netherlands were self-funded by those attending. No financial support was granted to the organisation by any institution.

CREDITS

We would also like to thank the elections staff at the Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations for their assistance in preparations for the observation in The Netherlands.
The Core Team

**Dr John Ault FRSA FRGS (United Kingdom)** was the Head of Mission for the European Parliamentary elections and is the Executive Director of Democracy Volunteers.

John has worked in elections throughout the UK and the United States since the 1980s. He has observed on behalf of the OSCE/ODIHR in parliamentary elections as far afield as Kazakhstan and is a former chair of the UK’s Electoral Reform Society. He has also previously been elected to local government in the UK, as well as being appointed to the South West Regional Assembly.

He has observed numerous elections for Democracy Volunteers including the Norwegian parliamentary elections, the UK general election in 2017, and the Finnish presidential and Dutch elections in 2017, 2018 and 2019. He has also been a consultant on the subject of electoral and parliamentary reform in Moldova.

He is an Honorary Research Fellow at the University of Exeter and has previously lectured at Canterbury Christ Church University and the University of Manchester. He specialises in elections and campaigns and has published a number of books on the subject, including his doctoral thesis on electoral campaigning.

**Alex Ollington FRSA (United Kingdom)** was Deputy Head of the European Parliamentary elections observation and is Head of Operations for Democracy Volunteers.

In his role at Democracy Volunteers, he plans all aspects of the observation including the advanced work on observer deployment. He is also one of the directors of the organisation.

He received both his undergraduate and postgraduate degrees from the University of Exeter studying International Relations. He has observed elections for the National Assembly for Wales in 2016, the UK General Election in 2017 as well as the Finnish Presidential election in 2018 among other international and domestic observations.

He coordinated with the International Elections Study Centre in May 2018 to facilitate the attendance of a team of Russian observers from the civil society organisation GOLOS at the English local elections.

Alex has previously worked with veterans at the Royal Hospital Chelsea as part of the fundraising and communications department finding funding for future projects as well as a researcher for a number of organisations including the universities of Harvard and Bristol.
Results of the Observation (The Netherlands)

**QUESTION 1:** Signposting of the polling stations was generally very good but observers did raise concerns in 5% of cases.

**QUESTION 2:** In 100% of polling stations it was clear where voters should report to.
QUESTION 3: Observers were generally very satisfied that disabled access was in place in the vast majority of polling stations. However, in 7% of polling stations whilst there was disabled access available it was not necessarily well signposted.

QUESTION 4: Generally, the observer team were not required to show their ID to polling staff.
QUESTION 5: On no occasions was the presence of our observer group formally recorded. On two occasions ID was either photographed or their presence was noted on an unofficial form.

QUESTION 6: 97% of polling stations had three members of polling staff on duty at all times. Only on one occasion were fewer than this number in attendance.
QUESTION 7: An important aspect of the electoral process is that the ballot should be secret and maintained as such, allowing no one access to the ballot papers. The process for closing and sealing a ballot box, from the opening of the polls at 7am and closing at 9pm, was observed. It was concerning that three ballot boxes were not sealed with the appropriate padlock that had been provided.

QUESTION 8: All but one of the polling stations observed were properly equipped with the requisite red pencil and poster.
QUESTION 9: Observers identified inappropriate information in two polling stations which were considered to be political. One of these was a list of local councillors in the local municipality and the other was pro-EU literature in another – See Recommendations.

QUESTION 10: Our observer team identified so-called ‘family voting’ in just one polling station.
QUESTION 11: Observers were asked for an overall rating of the polling station they had attended. 82% of polling stations were reported to be ‘Very Good’, 11% ‘Good’, 7% ‘Bad’, and 0% were ‘Very Bad’.
CONCLUSIONS – THE NETHERLANDS

This was generally an extremely well-run election. Presiding officers and poll clerks were invariably very welcoming and friendly to the observer groups and we would like to thank all those that helped in our work. We have recently issued a report to the Dutch Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations concerning the March elections for the Provincial and Water Board elections. We would reiterate those recommendations to the Dutch government but also add two supplementary areas of work.

Time to Vote

Our team is presently working on a more formal academic paper concerning the time it takes for voters to be processed in elections. This will be published in due course. But, as before, we are concerned that voters often take a long time to vote in The Netherlands.¹ A great deal of this time is due to the size of the ballot paper but we feel that the supporting evidence of how long voters take to vote should be understood as part of the Ministry’s plans to streamline voting.

Voters invariably took approximately sixty seconds to vote but the maximum we observed was 143 seconds. This time clearly leads to queueing for other voters as regularly there are only three polling booths available in a polling station. This backing up increases the possibility of ‘family voting’ as it may lead to voters entering polling booths together.

- **R1** We would reiterate our support for trials in The Netherlands to attempt different forms of ballot paper either along the planned pilot of the Norwegian system or the Finnish ballot system which we recommended following the March elections.

Political Literature

In some polling stations, mainly municipal buildings, the observer team saw what was arguably politically biased information. This took different forms: lists of party representatives on display and also pro-EU literature.

Whilst the former are often in place on a permanent basis they should be considered politically sensitive during polling day. The latter is perhaps understandable in the context of an election to the European Parliament but their being available does suggest an institutional bias in favour of the European Union. Although we take no position on this, we do feel that some parties do not take the same pro-EU position as others and the availability of political material in polling stations should be challenged.

- **R2** We would encourage the reminding of polling station staff to assess the presence of political material in polling stations and to remove it or cover it during election day.

¹ This is the time is takes from the moment they are given their ballot paper to the time the place it in the ballot box.